

## PATENT APPLICATION

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Raymond Li - Serial No. 09/047,320

Filing Date: March 24, 1998

Examiner: K. Tung Art Group: 2776

Docket No. 0100.01142

Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS OF VIDEO GRAPHICS AND AUDIO

**PROCESSING** 

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Washington, D.C. 20231

Attn: K. Tung

Certificate of First Class Mailing
I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the
United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an
envelope addressed to: Hon. Commissioner of Patents
and Trademarks, U.S. Patent & Trademark Office,
Washington, D.C.22231, on this date.

6/7/ Date Rosalie Swanson

**AMENDMENT** 

RECEIVED

FEB **0 9** 2006

Dear Sir:

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In response to the Office Action mailed July 29, 1999 regarding the above patent application, Applicants respectfully submit the following response.

## **REMARKS**

In the above-referenced Office Action, the Examiner rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Perrin (U.S. Pat. No. 5,872,577). Claims 2-4 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Perrin (U.S. Pat./ No. 5,872,577) in view of Neal et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,761,462). Claims 2-23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Perrin (U.S. Pat. No. 5,872,577) in view of Herbert et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,752,010). These rejections have been traversed and reconsideration is hereby respectfully requested.

1. Claim 1 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Perrin et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,872,577). The Applicant disagrees with the Examiner's